Case 3:07-cv-06099-FLW-LHG Document 39 Filed 02/16/11 Page 1 of 3 PageID: 1554

Consumer Litigation Group

Dimitrios Kolovos 🖜 Law Office of Dimitrios Kolovos, LLC Joseph A. Mullaney, III 🖜 211 West State Street, Suite 204 William J. Veitch 🗆 Media, PA 19063

Phone: 610-616-5303 Fax: 610-672-1944

www.ConsumerLitigators.com info@ConsumerLitigators.com February 16, 2011

Sender's Direct Contact: JMullaney@ConsumerLitigators.com Phone: 610-616-5303, ext. 4 Fax: 610-672-1944

New Jersey Office

701 White Horse Road, Suite 3

Voorhees, NJ 08043

Phone: 856-861-4241

Fax: 610-672-1944

VIA ECF (EMERGENT)

□Bar of NJ

■Bar of PA

OBar of NY

•Bar of MD

Honorable Lois Goodman, U.S.M.J. Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse 402 East State Street Room 2020 Trenton, NJ 08608

EMERGENCY NOTICE & REQUEST

RE: NICHOLAS COSMAS v. AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK
District Court of New Jersey, Case No.: 07-6099-FLW
Settlement Conference Scheduled for February 25, 2011, at 11:00 a.m.
Ex Parte Settlement Conference Memorandum due February 18, 2011

Your Honor:

I have been approached by the Plaintiff in the above-referenced matter who apparently is picking up the pieces left by his attorney, Timothy J. Provost, Esq. of Provost & Colrick, PA, who allegedly failed or imminently failed to attend the last scheduled settlement conference in the above-referenced matter. Mr. Provost has allegedly abandoned Mr. Cosmas's case based on Mr. Cosmas's discussions with Mr. Provost's partner, Mr. Colrick, who confirmed as much (*e.g.*, Mr. Colrick has not been able to contact Mr. Provost for weeks and cannot determine his whereabouts).

Mr. Cosmas did not seek an adjournment of the February 4, 2011 settlement conference based on his desire to obtain new counsel; rather, it appears Mr. Provost had abandoned Mr. Cosmas, and it was Mr. Corlick who requested an adjournment on that basis. *But see* Order, J. Goodman (Feb. 3, 2011) (Document No. 38) (observing that "Plaintiff has advised counsel that he may seek to retain new counsel," but not observing that Mr. Provost cannot be found) (see attached).

Plaintiff presents to me with a complicated case history requiring two written opinions just days prior to a settlement conference in which his attorney of record is unlikely to appear. I propose the following solution for the Court's consideration:

1) I represent Mr. Cosmas for the purposes of settlement only (limited appearance), and I do not enter my general appearance.

- 2) The Court grants an additional adjournment so that the undersigned can obtain an appropriate familiarity with the case where at least 30 days is sought.
- 3) The Court order Mr. Provost to appear at any scheduled settlement conference so that he may advance, if he chooses, any claim Mr. Cosmas has for attorney's fees and costs based on Mr. Provost's alleged time and costs in this fee-shifting litigation.

Whether the Court grants Mr. Cosmas an opportunity to obtain new counsel or not and whether that attorney may enter a limited appearance or not, **the case cannot reasonably settle** if the claim for Mr. Provost's attorney's fees and costs in this fee-shifting litigation is not advanced or abandoned. In other words, Mr. Provost's lien, if any, will prevent the parties' hoped-for settlement if it is not known whether any lien may affect Mr. Cosmas' recovery. Neither Mr. Cosmas nor I would be able to advance Mr. Provost's alleged time and costs in the alternative as neither of us have any personal knowledge as to same (assuming there is a charging or attorney lien).

The representations I have made in this letter are not based on my personal knowledge; they are based on information, belief, and opinion provided by Mr. Cosmas. Allegations concerning Timothy J. Provost, Esq. and/or Provost & Colrick are asserted pursuant to Mr. Cosmas' good faith attempt to protect his interests in this matter. They are **not** presented as fact and are based entirely in opinion. Mr. Cosmas has not retained me to generally appear in this case, and he has not formally terminated his relationship with Mr. Provost at this time.

I look forward to a telephone conference in which I may better present Mr. Cosmas's concerns and to insulate him from his attorney's alleged abandonment. As I may not be an ECF noticed attorney in this case, please direct chambers to notify me in an alternative fashion should the Court request additional actions on my part.

Your Honor's time and attention to this matter is greatly appreciated; please direct any questions, comments, or concerns to the above contact information.

Very truly yours, **CONSUMER LITIGATION GROUP**<u>s/Joseph A. Mullaney, III</u>

Joseph A. Mullaney, III, Esq.

JAM

Encl(s): Goodman Order, Feb. 3, 2011 CC: Jack Gross, Esq. via ECF

Timothy J. Provost, Esq. via ECF

Nicholas Cosmas

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CHAMBERS OF
LOIS H. GOODMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CLARKSON S. FISHER U.S. COURTHOUSE 402 EAST STATE STREET ROOM 7050 TRENTON, NJ 08608 609-989-2114

February 3, 2011

LETTER ORDER

Re: COSMAS v. AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK.

Civil Action No. 07-6099 (FLW)

Dear Counsel:

The Court has reviewed the February 3, 2011 letter from counsel for Plaintiff, indicating that Plaintiff has advised counsel that he may seek to retain new counsel and asking that the settlement conference currently scheduled for February 4, 2011 be adjourned for a short period of time to allow him to do so. The request is granted, and the settlement conference is hereby adjourned to **February 25, 2011 at 11:00 a.m.**

Counsel and parties with full authority to settle are to be present in person. Any failure in this regard may subject the party to an imposition of sanctions.

Five days before the conference, each party is to submit an <u>ex parte</u> statement of five pages or less, setting forth the issues relevant to settlement and the party's position as to settlement.

In the event Plaintiff elects not to continue with his current counsel, he is directed to have new counsel file a substitution of counsel prior to the settlement conference, or, if Plaintiff intends to proceed <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> in this matter, he is directed to have counsel file a motion for leave to withdraw prior to the settlement conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LOIS H. GOODMAN United States Magistrate Judge